

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/726,436 | 12/03/2003 | Ajay Garg | INTEL/17848 | 5824 |
| | 7590 04/05/200 & FLIGHT LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| Suite 4220 | | | PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY | |
| 20 North Wack Chicago, IL 60 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| _ : | | | 2132 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 04/05/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/726,436 | GARG ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Venkat Perungavoor | 2132 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2003 is/a | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. | ed to by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate |

Application/Control Number: 10/726,436

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-18, 20-25, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 7051367 to Krishnaswamy et al.(hereinafter Krishnaswamy).

Regarding Claim 1,9, Krishnaswamy discloses the identifying a packet associated with an processor see Col 5 Ln 7-11 & Fig. 3 item 42; identifying one or more platform-level network security protocols associated with extensible firmware interface see Fig. 3 item 52 & Fig. 4 item 68; identifying the packet with security conditions based on protocols see Col 5 Ln 44-52.

Regarding Claim 3, 11, Krishnaswamy discloses the identifying the packet incoming fro network interface see Col 4 Ln 64-66 and outgoing packet from operating system associated with the network interface see Fig. 2 item 18 & Col 2 Ln 61-64.

Regarding Claim 4, 12, 22, 29, Krishnaswamy does not disclose the configuration table having firewall, VPN and IP see Col 4 Ln 58-63 & Fig. 2 item 29.

Regarding Claim 5, 13, 23, 30, Krishnaswamy discloses the pointers being used in the PSR table to configure the routers see Col 5 Ln 7-11.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,436

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 6, 14, Krishnaswamy discloses the associating the packet with one allowable condition see Fig. 4 item 76 and deny condition based on rate-limiting condition see Fig. 4 item 72.

Regarding Claim 7, 15, 20, 27, Krishaswamy discloses the queues being used for packets see Fig. 5 item 82.

Regarding Claim 8, 16, 21, 28, Krishnaswamy discloses the deny condition resulting in sleeping(not accepting packets) of the processor see Fig. 5 item 90.

Regarding Claim 17, Krishnaswamy discloses the network interface(Fig. 1 item 60); interrupt handler to receive interrupt request(see Fig. 3 item 48); network driver(Fig. 2 item 22) to identify a packet associated with an processor see Col 5 Ln 7-11 & Fig. 3 item 42, identifying one or more platform-level network security protocols associated with extensible firmware interface see Fig. 3 item 52 & Fig. 4 item 68, and identifying the packet with security conditions based on protocols see Col 5 Ln 44-52.

Regarding Claim 18, 25, Krishnaswamy discloses the network interface card see Col 2 Ln 61-64.

Regarding Claim 24, Krishnaswamy discloses the network interface(Fig. 1 item 60; processor(Fig. 3 item 64) to identify a packet associated with an processor see Col 5 Ln 7-11 & Fig. 3 item 42, identifying one or more platform-level network security protocols associated with extensible

Art Unit: 2132

firmware interface see Fig. 3 item 52 & Fig. 4 item 68, and identifying the packet with security conditions based on protocols see Col 5 Ln 44-52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10, 19, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 7051367 to Krishnaswamy et al.(hereinafter Krishnaswamy) in view of US Patent 5748980 to Lipe et al.(hereinafter Lipe).

Regarding Claim 2, 10,19, 26, Krishnaswamy does not explicitly disclose the pre-boot environment and post boot environment. However, Lipe discloses the pre-boot environment with configuration data see Fig. 4B item 64 and post-boot environment identify the device drivers for buses see Fig. 4B item 70. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the pre-boot and post-boot environment in the invention of Krishnaswamy in order to have no conflict in resources as it occurs during boot-time as taught in Lipe see Fig. 4B item 60.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{3/28/2007}

Venkat Perungavoor Examiner Art Unit 2132